

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,311	05/11/2006	Shozaburo Konishi	KAN-067 (10530)	5058	
62479 HAHN & VOI	7590 12/21/201 GHT PLLC	0	EXAMINER		
1012 14TH ST			VASISTH, VISHAL V		
SUITE 620 WASHINGTO	ON DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
······································	11, 20 2000		1771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,311	KONISHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VISHAL VASISTH	1771		

	VISHAL VASISTH	1771						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or a application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Ap for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 periods:</li> </ol>	g replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704( NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount exhortened statutory period for reply origiter than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a:					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	onsideration and/or search (see NO low);	ΓE below);						
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	,							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.5-8.18.19.22-25 and 27-29.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant falled to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appearry and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered becomes See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	out does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s) 13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 12/3/20	10						
/Glenn A Caldarola/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1771	/Vishal Vasisth/ 12/10/20	010						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants amended independent claims 1 and 8 to include a concentration for the sulfur-conatining molybdenum comlex and narrowed the type of friction modifier used in the composition. These amendments although on the right track still do not bring the claims commensurate in scope with the data provided in Table 1 of the instant specification.

For example, the additives blended with the base oil to formulate the finished composition are in very specific concentrations and are very specific compounds. For instance in base oil 1, the friction modifiers are glycerin monoleate. Claim 1 merely recites a sulfur-containing molybdenum complex and does not include any of the other additives or any of their respective concentration. Therefore, the arguments are not persuasive to show unexpected results. Also, in order to demonstrate unexpected results the criticality of the ranges need to be shown and compared to the closest prior art. Applicants did narrow the base oils in a manner that demonstrates unexpected results but only one of the additives was narrowed in the same manner and the additive compounds are still very broad, including the friction modifiers

/VVV/

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1771